

You will need to produce an Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA) if:

- You are developing a new policy, strategy, or service
- You are making changes that will affect front-line services
- You are reducing budgets, which may affect front-line services
- You are changing the way services are funded and this may impact the quality of the service and who can access it
- You are making a decision that could have a different impact on different groups of people
- You are making staff redundant or changing their roles

Guidance notes on how to complete an EqIA and sign off process are available on the Hub under Equality and Diversity. You must read the <u>guidance notes</u> and ensure you have followed all stages of the EqIA approval process (outlined in appendix 1). Section 2 of the template requires you to undertake an assessment of the impact of your proposals on groups with protected characteristics. Equalities and borough profile data, as well as other sources of statistical information can be found on the Harrow hub, within the section entitled: <u>Equality Impact Assessment</u> - sources of statistical information.

	Equality Impact Assessment (Ed	און)
Type of Decision:	Cabinet O Portfolio holder O	ther (state)
Title of Proposal	School Organisation Up-Date	Date EqIA created 10/11/2023
Name and job title of completing/lead Officer	Rajeshree Parmar, Head of School Organisati	on, Admissions and Attendance
Directorate/ Service responsible	People Services	
Organisational approval		
EqIA approved by EDI Team	Name Jennifer Rock	Signature
	Assistant Policy Officer: Equality, Diversity and Inclusion	Tick this box to indicate that you have approved this EqIA
		Date of approval:

1. Summary of proposal, impact on groups with protected characteristics and mitigating actions (to be completed **after** you have completed sections 2 - 5)

a) What is your proposal?

To provide updated school roll projections for Cabinet, including specialist provision for CYP with SEND aged 0-25 in accordance with the SEND Strategy and the associated capital programme.

b) Summarise the impact of your proposal on groups with protected characteristics

The proposal should positively impact on groups with protected characteristics as we are ensuring sufficient school places and including additional SEND provision.

c) Summarise any potential negative impact(s) identified and mitigating actions There are no potential negative impacts.

2. Assessing You are require characteristics. responses and proposal(s) will what action (if a Protected	ed to underta You should any other re have on eac any), you will	refer to <u>b</u> levant da ch group. take to a	orough r ta/evidei Where iddress t	profile da nce to he there are his in the	ta, equal Ip you as gaps in future.	<u>ities data</u> , s ssess and e data, you s	service use explain what should state	r informatio it impact (if	n, consulta any) your boxes belo	tion ow and	about th may hav protecte the rele whether positive	bes the evi the impact y te on grou ed characte evant box your prop impact, no or no impa	/our prope ips with eristics? / to indicat posal will l egative (n	osal Click e nave a
characteristic	of your pro							dicate the d					bact	
	analysis.										Positive impact	Minor	Major	No impact
Age		demand	on place	es for you	ung peop	le with SEI	ND is increa		young peo	ple from				
	2016	Numb 2017		-	Harrow 2019	•	Schools 2 2021		2023	-				
	2016	2017		-	2019	2020 22,851	2021	2022 22,460	2023	-				
	,	pring Sch			,	,	,	who are so	,] main				
		Number	r of pup	oils in H	arrow S	econdary	Schools	2016-2023	3]				
	2016	2017			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	1				
	12,573	12,88	5 13,	131 1	3,835	14,486	15,058	15,477	16,021					
	Source: S registratio		iool Cen	sus Retu	rns 2016	-2023; Incl	udes pupils	who are so	ole or dual	main				
	Ν	umber o	of pupils	s in Har	row Spe	ecial Scho	ools 2016-	2023						
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023						
	421	441	447	462	464	482	492	506						
	Source: S registratio		iool Cen	sus Retu	rns 2016	-2023; Incl	udes pupils	who are so	ole or dual	main				

School								Last 7 years				
Name	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		erence			
Grange Primary School	600	591	601	612	538	512	495	-105	-21.2%			
Pinner Wood School	610	581	637	665	666	667	666	56	8.4%			
Stanburn Primary School	798	812	797	783	753	755	739	-59	-8.0%			
Canons High School	1,197	1,221	1,250	1,262	1,266	1,276	1,302	105	8.1%			

The tables below shows that the number of pupils attending the new ARMS Provisions and Special Schools referred to in the Cabinet Report.

Source: Spring School Census Returns 2017-2023; Includes pupils who are sole or dual main registrations.

School Name	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		7 years erence
Weald Rise Primary School	675	558	464	434	373	375	413	-262	-63.4%
Kingsley High School	78	76	75	85	98	104	121	43	35.5%
Woodlands School	120	122	136	136	132	135	133	13	9.8%
Alexandra School	79	80	80	80	80	80	80	1	1.3%
Shaftesbury High School	162	169	171	163	172	173	172	10	5.8%

Source: Spring School Census Returns 2017-2023; Includes pupils who are sole or dual main registrations.

Disability	3313Source: SpringSecondary Secondary Seconda	opportunit to remain t provision on is requi ng people ools (Curre nent 2018 371 g School (C chools (C nent 2018 257	y to send within th n. red to m with SE ent and N 2019 409 Census F urrent ar 2019 253	d their ch heir comr eet the r ND is ind Main-Dua Main-Dua 43 Returns 2 nd Main-1 20 21 22	ild to a k munity ar needs of creasing. al Enrolm 2017-202 Dual Enr Dual Enr 020 00	ocal prov nd reduce all young nent Stat 2021 488 23 olment S 2021 306	rision. The travel to the trav	iis is a po imes for from 0-2 022 39 77-Yr13) 022	ositive step to young people	allow who		
		ols (All Er nent 2018 146	101ment 2019 457	Status)	020 64	2021 479	20)22)1	2023 506			
	School Name	2017	2018		2020 2020		2022	2023	Last 7 year Difference			
	Grange Primary School Pinner Wood School	5	5 5	10 4	9 6	12 9	20 11	20 14	+15 +9			

	Stanburn Primary School	7	6	8	12	12	19	18		+11			
	Canons High School	23	21	22	21	22	19	17		-6			
	Source: Spring S	School Ce	ensus R	eturns 2	017-202	3							
				EHC	P/ State	ment							
	School Name	2017	2018	2019	2020	202	1 202	2 202	23	Last 7 ye Differen			
	Weald Rise Primary School	5	4	6	6	5	7	3	;	-2			
	Kingsley High School	78	76	71	85	96	10	3 12	21	+43			
	Woodlands School	120	122	136	136	132	2 13	5 13	3	+13			
	Alexandra School	79	80	80	80	80	80	8	0	+3			
	Shaftesbury High School	162	168	170	163	17	I 173	3 17	2	+10			
	Source: Spring Sch	nool Censu	s Returns	2017-202	3								
Gender reassignment	This data is not h	ield, and	this dat	a is not a	applicab	le in the	context	of this p	ropo	osal.			
Marriage and Civil Partnership	Not applicable in	the cont	ext of th	is propo	sal.								
Pregnancy and Maternity	Not applicable in	the cont	ext of th	is propo	sal.								

Race/ Ethnicity There are school places across Harrow to ensure that children are able to attend their local community school. In addition, there are faith-based schools, which collectively provide choice an diversity in Harrow.

The positive implications of the inclusive approach to young people from all races are not impacted by the proposed change. By creating additional local capacity will we secure diversity in the provision of schools and increase choice for parental preference. Young people will be able to access the additional provision based on an assessment of their need. Race and Ethnicity is not a criterion by which their needs are assessed. School places at special schools are assessed by educational and health needs.

Ethnic Origin	Grange Primary School	Pinner Wood School	Stanburn Primary School	Canons High School	All Schools
Any other ethnic group	26	17	48	126	2,037
	(5.3%)	(2.6%)	(6.5%)	(9.7%)	(5.2%)
Asian Other	163	60	115	221	7,084
Asian Other	(32.9%)	(9.0%)	(15.6%)	(17.0%)	(18.1%)
Pangladashi	7	16	9	8	320
Bangladeshi	(1.4%)	(2.4%)	(1.2%)	(0.6%)	(0.8%)
Black African	28	47	16	163	2,038
BIACK AITICAT	(5.7%)	(7.1%)	(2.2%	(12.5%)	(5.2%)
Plack Caribbaan	5	8	12	27	894
Black Caribbean	(1.0%)	(1.2%)	(1.6%)	(2.1%)	(2.3%)
Black Other	11	3	1	15	357
Black Other	(2.2%)	(0.5%)	(0.1%)	(1.2%)	(0.9%)
Chinasa	1	2	5	3	331
Chinese	(0.2%)	(0.3%)	(0.7%)	(0.2%)	(0.8%)
Indian	48	247	257	123	10,198
Indian	(9.7%)	(37.1%)	(34.8%)	(9.4%)	(26.0%)
	(0.00())	(0,00())	(0.00()	3	108
Information not obtained	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.2%)	(0.3%)
	16	25	35	44	1,352
Mixed Other	(3.2%)	(3.8%)	(4.7%)	(3.4%)	(3.5%)

 \mathbf{X}

 \square

Mixed White Asian	5	13	19		24	1,062	
	(1.0%)	(2.0%)	(2.6		(1.8%)	(2.7%)	
Mixed White Black African	7	2	7		17	336	
	(1.4%)	(0.3%)	(0.9	-	(1.3%	(0.9%)	
Mixed White Black Caribbean	11	20	4		5	500	
	(2.2%)	(3.0%)	(0.5	-	(0.4%)	(1.3%)	
Pakistani	20	49	47		44	1,887	
	(4.0%)	(7.4%)	(6.4		(3.4%)	(4.8%)	
Refused	12	2	13		3	517	
	(2.4%)	(0.3%)	(1.8		(0.2%)	(1.3%)	
White British	20 (4.0%)	93 (14.0%)	(3.0		48 (3.7%)	3,068 (7.8%)	
	(4.0%)	(14.0%)	(3.0	,	(3.7%)	258	
White Irish	(0.2%)	(0.2%)	(0.1		(0.1%)	(0.7%)	
	3	<u> </u>	2			49	
White Irish Traveller	(0.6%)	(0.0%)	(0.3		(0.0%)	(0.1%)	
	111	60	12	-	423	6,690	
White Other	(22.4%)	(9.0%)	(16.8	3%)	(32.5%)	(17.1%)	
White Roma	(0.0%)	1	2		3	72	
White Roma	(0.076)	(0.2%)	(0.3	%)	(0.2%)	(0.2%)	
Grand Total	495	666	73	9	1,302	39,158	
Source – Spring School Census 2023	3						
Ethnic Origin	Weald Ris Primary School	King	sley School		odlands chool	Alexandra School	Shaftesbury High School
Any other ethnic group	59		<u>2</u>		6	5	9
	(14.3%)	(1.		•	1.5%)	(6.3%)	(5.2%)
Asian Other	118	_	5		32	12	26
	(28.6%)		9%)	(2	4.1%)	(15.0%)	(15.1%)
Bangladeshi	8				1	1	2
`	(1.9%)		3%)).8%)	(1.3%)	(1.2%)
Black African	21		2		20	4	19
	(5.1%)	(9.9	1%)	(1	5.0%)	(5.0%)	(11.0%)

White Roma Grand Total	413	121	133	80	172	(
M/h the Develo	1 (0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)		
White Other	(22.5%) (0.0%)	(16.5%)	(12.0%)	(10.0%)	(11.6%)		
White Irish Traveller	1 (0.2%) 93	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	1 (1.3%) 8	1 (0.6%) 20		
White Irish	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	1 (0.8%)	2 (2.5%)	1 (0.6%)		
White British	28 (6.8%)	10 (8.3%)	11 (8.3%)	17 (21.3%)	29 (16.9%)		
Refused	(0.0%)	2 (1.7%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	1 (0.6%)		
Pakistani	13 (3.1%)	8 (6.6%)	11 (8.3%)	6 (7.5%)	12 (7.0%)		
Aixed White Black Caribbean	2 (0.5%)	(0.0%)	1 (0.8%)	1 (1.3%)	3 (1.7%)		
Aixed White Black African	4 (1.0%)	(0.0%)	2 (1.5%)	1 (1.3%)	2 (1.2%)		
Mixed White Asian	5 (1.2%)	6 (5.0%)	(0.0%)	1 (1.3%)	2 (1.2%)		
Mixed Other	7 (1.7%)	2 (1.7%)	2 (1.5%)	5 (6.3%)	6 (3.5%)		
nformation not obtained	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)		
Indian	33 (8.0%)	19 (15.7%)	23 (17.3%)	14 (17.5%)	23 (13.4%)		
Chinese	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.8%)	1 (0.8%)	1 (1.3%)	1 (0.6%)		
Black Other	(0.2%)	(1.7%)	(3.0%)	(1.3%)	(1.7%)		
Black Caribbean	(4.6%)	(0.8%)	(1.5%)	(0.0%)	(7.0%)		

	There is no grou	ıp that will be d	isproportio	onately	/ impac	ted by t	he pro	oposed c	hang	es.				
Religion or belief	This proposal is local area and the inclusive approacchange. School	ne pupil profiles ach to children f	s reflects th from all reli	ne ethi igions	nicity of and be	f their ar elief are	eas. not in	The posit	ive i by the	mplications of t e proposed]		
	This data is not	held.												
Gender	Any proposals for is inclusive of you educational and	oung people of									ces			
	Gender	Grange Primary School	Pinner Wood School	Prir	nburn mary hool	Cano Hig Scho	h	All Schoo	Is					
	Female	240 (48.0%)	323 (48.5%)	-	69 .9%)	579 (44.5	-	19,39 (49.5%						
	Male	255 (51.5%)	343 (51.5%)	3	70 .1%)	723	3	19,76 (50.5%	3			,		
	Grand Total	495	666		39	1,30		39,15	-			1		
	Source – Spring Sc	hool Census 2023												
	Gender	Weald Rise Primary School	Kingsl High Sc			dlands nool	-	xandra chool		aftesbury gh School				
	Female	210 (50.8%)	32 (26.4%	%)		l4 .1%)	(2	23 8.8%)		63 (36.6%)				
	Male	203 (49.2%)	89 (73.6%		8	.9%)		57 1.3%)		109 (63.4%)				
	Grand Total	413	121		· · ·	33		80		172				
	Source – Spring S	chool Census 202	3											
Sexual Orientation	This data is not	held, and this c	lata is not a	applic	able in	the con	text o	f this pro	posa	Ι.]		

	2.1 Cumulative impact – considering what else is happening within the Council and Harrow as a whole, could your proposals have a cumulative impact on groups with protected characteristics? Yes No 🛛											
If you clicked the	Yee hey which an		to stad, she ve stavisti			e the notential inc	a ot 2 la ol	uda data	ile in the			
below	e Yes box, which gr	oups with pr	otected characteristic		cied and what i	s the potential imp		ude deta	iis in the	space		
			else is happenin n impact on indiv				I policie	es, socio	o-econo	mic		
Yes	No	\boxtimes										
If you clicked the	e Yes box, Include o	details in the	space below									

3. Actions to mitigate/remove negative impact

Only complete this section if your assessment (in section 2) suggests that your proposals may have a negative impact on groups with protected characteristics. If you have not identified any negative impacts, please complete sections 4 and 5.

In the table below, please state what these potential negative impact (s) are, mitigating actions and steps taken to ensure that these measures will address and remove any negative impacts identified and by when. Please also state how you will monitor the impact of your proposal once implemented.

State what the negative	Measures to mitigate negative impact (provide	What action (s) will you take to assess whether	Deadline	Lead Officer
impact(s) are for each group,	details, including details of and additional	these measures have addressed and removed	date	
identified in section 2. In addition,	consultation undertaken/to be carried out in the	any negative impacts identified in your analysis?		
you should also consider and	future). If you are unable to identify measures	Please provide details. If you have previously		
state potential risks associated	to mitigate impact, please state so and provide	stated that you are unable to identify measures		
with your proposal.	a brief explanation.	to mitigate impact please state below.		

4. Public Sector Equality Duty

How does your proposal meet the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) to:

- 1. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Equality Act 2010
- 2. Advance equality of opportunity between people from different groups
- 3. Foster good relations between people from different groups

Include details in the space below

The Local Authority has a statutory responsibility to provide sufficient school places for its area. Making changes to school organisation and the SEND Strategy are designed to improve outcomes for children and young people across Harrow. They focus on increasing opportunity for residents to send their children to local schools informed by appropriate and thorough provision mapping, with potential development of more local provision. The projections for primary schools have dipped slightly and are forecast to increase. Secondary school projections have plateaued, and special educational needs projections are continuing to rise requiring additional provision to be created.

5. Outcome of the Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA) click the box that applies

Outcome 1

No change required: the EqIA has not identified any potential for unlawful conduct or disproportionate impact and all opportunities to advance equality of opportunity are being addressed

Outcome 2

Adjustments to remove/mitigate negative impacts identified by the assessment, or to better advance equality, as stated in section 3&4

Outcome 3

This EqIA has identified discrimination and/ or missed opportunities to advance equality and/or foster good relations. However, it is still reasonable to continue with the activity. Outline the reasons for this and the information used to reach this decision in the space below.

Include details here